

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1459 Alexandra, Vuginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
 CONFIRMATION NO.

 09/941,180
 08/27/2001
 Hideyuki Harada
 P/1071-1440
 7067

 7590
 06/13/2003
 06/13/2003
 06/13/2003
 06/13/2003

STEVEN I. WEISBURD DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS 41ST FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714

MAYES, MELVIN C

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1734

EXAMINER

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application | on No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--------------------|
| | <u>-</u> | 09/941,18 | | HARADA ET A | NI. |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | | Art Unit | ٦L. |
| | • | | | | |
| The MAILING DA | ATE of this communication | | rtis Mayes cover shee | 1734 It with the correspondence | e address |
| Period for Reply | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| THE MAILING DATE O - Extensions of time may be ava after SIX (6) MONTHS from th - If the period for reply specified - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set of | ied above, the maximum statutory por extended period for reply will, by see later than three months after the | ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even on. a reply within the state period will apply and w statute, cause the app | ent, however, ma utory minimum o Il expire SIX (6) lication to becon | ay a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered MONTHS from the mailing date of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133) | his communication. |
| | communication(s) filed on | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FI | | This action is | non-final | | |
| , | /— | • | | matters, prosecution as t | a tha marita is |
| closed in accord | lance with the practice ur | | | | o the ments is |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | are pending in the applic | | | | |
| | claim(s) is/are with | hdrawn from co | nsideration. | | |
| 5) | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/a | • | | | | |
| 7) | • | | | | |
| | re subject to restriction a | ind/or election re | equirement | | |
| Application Papers | in abiantad ta bartha Fara | | | | |
| | is objected to by the Exam | | | | |
| | | | | bjected to by the Examine | |
| | | | | beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85 disapproved by the Exa | |
| | ected drawings are required | | | _ disapproved by the Exa | miner. |
| | ration is objected to by th | | nce action. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § | • | c Lammer. | | | |
| | | | d 05 H O | 0.0440(-)./.1)/0 | |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment | | reign priority un | der 35 U.S. | C. § 119(a)-(d) or (t). | |
| a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some | • | | | | |
| _ | opies of the priority docur | | | m Ammiliantian Nia | |
| | | | | n Application No. | |
| applica | ne certified copies of the tion from the International letailed Office action for a | al Bureau (PCT | Rule 17.2(a | | nal Stage |
| 14) Acknowledgment is | s made of a claim for don | nestic priority ur | nder 35 U.S | .C. § 119(e) (to a provision | onal application). |
| a) ☐ The translation 15)☐ Acknowledgment i | on of the foreign language s made of a claim for dor | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited Notice of Draftsperson's Pa Information Disclosure State | tent Drawing Review (PTO-948 | | | iew Summary (PTO-413) Papel e of Informal Patent Application | |
| .S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) | Offi | ce Action Summa | у | Part of Paper N | lo. 6 |

Application/Control Number: 09/941,180

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

(1)

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: it should read "wherein said sintered plate of fired first ceramic functional material is arranged so as to extend along a primary face of a green layer for the substrate. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(2)

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(3)

Claims 1, 9, 10, 14, 16, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mikeska et al. 5,254,191

Mikeska et al. disclose a method for reducing shrinkage during firing of a ceramic body comprising: printing unfired dielectric tape layers, comprising glass inorganic binder, with conductors; stacking the layers on a rigid prefired ceramic substrate of AlN and applying an unsinterable constraining layer on the surface of the stack; and firing at a peak temperature of 800-950°C; and removing the constraining layer (col. 8-14).

Application/Control Number: 09/941,180

Art Unit: 1734

By stacking the unsintered (green) tape layers on a rigid prefired AlN ceramic substrate, a sintered plate of fired first ceramic functional material is arranged so as to extend along a primary face of a green layer for the substrate.

Page 3

(4)

Claims 1-7, 9-12, 14, 16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kodama et al. 5,277,723.

Kodama et al. disclose a method of producing a multilayer ceramic body comprising: making a multilayer ceramic capacitor by layering and firing electrode printed and via-wired green sheets of barium titanate; printing green sheets of borosilicate glass and alumina filler with via wirings and surface wirings; in some of the green sheets, punching holes larger than the made capacitor; layering green sheets and the capacitor so that the capacitor is positioned inside the laminate and the electrodes and via-wiring of the capacitor are connected to the wirings of the green sheets; sandwiching the laminate between dimensionally stable, constraining-force-applying alumina porous plates; firing at 900°C; and removing the porous plates. The green sheets comprise 75 vol% borosilicate glass powder. Kodama et al. further disclose that the fired built-in structure can be a functional parts such as a capacitor or contain many small parts such as chip capacitors, resistors and coils (col. 7, lines 26-58, col. 13, lines 50-68, col. 27, line 28 – col. 28, line 51).

Application/Control Number: 09/941,180 Page 4

Art Unit: 1734

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

(5)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

(6)

Claims 8, 13, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kodama et al. in view of Nomura et al. 5,335,139.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/941,180

Art Unit: 1734

Kodama et al. disclose that the green sheets comprise 75 vol% borosilicate glass powder and 25 vol% alumina powder filler. Kodama et al. does not disclose that the multilayer ceramic capacitor has a thickness of 100 μm or less.

Nomura et al. teach that in making a multilayer ceramic chip capacitor, each dielectric layer preferable has a thickness up to about 50 µm, especially up to about 20 µm and lower thickness limit of about 0.5 µm, preferably about 2µm, and the number of dielectric layers stacked is generally from 2 to about 300, preferably from 2 to about 200 (col. 6, lines 26-34).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the multilayer ceramic capacitor in the multilayer ceramic body of Kodama et al. of a thickness of $100~\mu m$ or less, as Nomura et al. teach that in making a ceramic chip capacitor, the number of stacked dielectric layers is preferably from 2 to 200 and the thickness of the dielectric layers is preferably about $2~\mu m$ up to about $20~\mu m$. By making the capacitor by laminating green sheets (dielectric layers) of number and thickness within the preferred ranges as suggested by Nomura et al., a capacitor (sintered plate) of thickness which encompasses the thickness range of $100~\mu m$ or less, as claimed, is provided.

Conclusion

(7)

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The references disclose methods of making multilayer ceramic substrates containing capacitors.

Application/Control Number: 09/941,180

Art Unit: 1734

(8)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin Curtis Mayes whose telephone number is 703-308-1977. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 703-308-3853. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Melvin Curtes Mayes Primary Examiner Art Unit 1734 Page 6

MCM June 12, 2003